



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,707	12/13/2001	Julie A. Campbell	KDO:196470-9	9595
26790	7590 02/10/2003			
LAW OFFICE OF KAREN DANA OSTER, LLC PMB 1020 15450 SW BOONES FERRY ROAD #9			EXAMINER	
			PAUMEN, GARY F	
LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97035			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/020,707 Applicant(s)

Campbell et al

Examiner

Gary Paumen

Art Unit 2833

			Щ
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
	or Reply		
THE N	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rej	date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 29, 2	2002	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $\textit{Ex pa}$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u>	is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-27</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the c		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examin	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have		
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have 		
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of th		
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
_	The translation of the foreign language provisional		
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		
Attachm		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
2) 🔲 No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6} Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/020,707 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. In addition to the election of species requirement previously made, following is a restriction requirement. Receipt of the election filed 11/29/02 is hereby acknowledged.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-23, drawn to a test probe tip, classified in class 439, subclass 824.
 - II. Claims 24-27, drawn to a method of using a test probe tip, classified in class 29.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process such as one not requiring a probing head or electrical component (since these are not positively recited in claims 1-23).
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to attorney Karen Dana Oster on February 7, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/020,707

Art Unit: 2833

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

Page 3

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 7.

should be directed to Gary Paumen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1414.

Dang V. Van

gfp

February 7, 2003